

United States Patent and Trademark Office

K.D.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,839	09/25/2003		Motoyuki Tanaka	03591/LH	9632
1933	7590	03/01/2004		EXAM	INER
	•	Z, GOODMAN &	TAMAI, KARL I		
767 THIRD 25TH FLOO		E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	K, NY 1	0017-2023	2834		
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					γ,				
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	-				
		10/671,83	9	TANAKA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Tamai IE k	(arl	2834					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ication. days, a reply within the statu tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on							
•)⊠ This action is no	on-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	•							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the the oath or declaration is objected to be	-	=		• •				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been ocuments have been the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachmer	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
	æ of References Cited (P1O-892) ඏ of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0	D-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P ⁻ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/03</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO	-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/671,839 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

Specification

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lordo (US 4,453,097). Lordo teaches a DC motor having a square stator 20 with grooves A facing the ends of the magnets 27, where the grooves includes a surface 24 which is set back from the surface of the magnet and continues to the edge of the magnet 27. The motor includes frames 14 and 16 for supporting the rotor.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Reiss et al. (Reiss)(US 4,508,988). Riess teaches a DC motor having a laminated stator 2 with grooves 11/12 having a surface which is set back from the surface of the

Application/Control Number: 10/671,839 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

magnet and the side surfaces continues to the edge of the magnets 8,10. It is inherent that the motor includes frames 14 and 16 for supporting the rotor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 3, 5, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiss et al. (Reiss)(US 4,508,988), in further view of Brosse (FR 2617345). Reiss teaches every aspect of the invention except an injection molded resin magnet on to the stator having at least 3 grooves in the stator core and mating with 3 projections on the permanent magnet. Brosse teaches three grooves 6 on the stator for mating with the

Art Unit: 2834

projections on the magnet which is injection molded on to the stator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the stator of Reiss with the resin magnet of Brossse to anchor the magnets on the stator core.

9. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiss et al. (Reiss)(US 4,508,988) and Brosse (FR 2617345), in further view of Boyd, Jr. et al. (Boyd)(US 5,668,429). Reiss and Brosse teach every aspect of the invention except the stator lamination being square with the projections/grooves being the in four corners. It is inherent that the grooves evenly spaced on the rear of magnet of Brosse will be on the four corners of the stator core, when combined with Riess. Boyd teaches the laminations are square to save material during stamping of the stator core and because the mounting holes for the end frames are located in the corners. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the stator of Reiss and Brosse with square laminations to not waste lamination material and to mount the endframes to support the rotor.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2834

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number at (571) 272 - 2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2034. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER February 13, 2004

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAPIL EXAMIN